

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/505,509	02/17/2000	Manish Gupta	YOR9-2000-0004	6746
30743 75	90 04/20/2006		EXAMINER	
WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C.			FELTEN, DANIEL S	
11491 SUNSET SUITE 340	HILLS ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, VA	RESTON, VA 20190		3624	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/505,509	GUPTA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel S. Felten	3624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3.						
,	iis action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —		osecution as to the merits is				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Lx parte Quayle, 1000 C.D. 11, 4	00 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) 9-11 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	on priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri	, ,	<del></del>				
application from the International Bure	•	Ğ				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ed.				
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	8) 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/505,509 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Receipt of the Amendment filed February 03,2006 is acknowledged. Claims 1-11 are pending in the application and are presented to be examined upon their merits.

## Response to Arguments

2. With regard to applicants' assertion that the Examiner has suggested that the Friedland et al does not have the distributed processing system and the does have the distributed processing system, the Examiner presented the secondary reference, Hultgren, for the purposes of providing analogous art to the teachings of Friedland. It was *suggested* in Friedland that a distributed processing *system* was used (via the Internet), but did not show, per se, a distributed processing *network* as a number of inter-linked servers. It was also, suggested that the identification of loser bids by Friedland would be obvious because an artisan at the time of the invention would recognize the fact that the determination of winning bids would also (automatically) include which bids are losers by being filtered out. Thus rejections of claims 1-8 are maintained.\

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 3-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The word "it" is not distinctive because one of ordinary skill in the art may not ascertain exactly what limitation "it" is referring to.

Application/Control Number: 09/505,509

Art Unit: 3624

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 9-11 are allowed.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior art fails to disclose 9(b). As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Felten whose telephone number is (571) 272-6742. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/505,509

Art Unit: 3624

DSF

April 16, 2006

Daniel S Felten Examiner Art Unit 3624

Vines Well

VINGEPIT MILLIN
SUBSERVICION
TECHNOLOGY CENTERISSOO